	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/662,437	MINOPRIO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vanessa L. Ford	1645
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Vanessa L. Ford</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mary Rucker.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5/17/2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/a		
Claims discussed: all claims		•
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Applicant was contacted to determine the status of the above applied and the case is abandoned.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS offication. The Examiner was info	S DISCUSSED: med that no response would be
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	ecord of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
		,
Manena Hod		if any angle to
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – II appropriate)